IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

MONDAY, THE 2ND APRIL 2007 / 12TH CHAITHRA 1929

RP.No. 1066 of 2006(L)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT DTD. 29/03/2006 IN WPC.7252/2006.
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER:

M.V.UNNIKRISHNAN, AGED 42 YEARS,
S/O. GOPALAN, MEELTHALAVALAPPIL HOUSE,
EDAKKADU POST, KANNUR.

BY ADV. SMT.E.SHEENA.

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **KERALA STATE, REP. BY THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KANNUR.**
2. **SPECIAL TAHSILDAR (R.R.), TALUK OFFICE.**
3. **INDIAN OVERSEA BANK, REPRESENTED BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, BANK ROAD, KANNUR.**
4. **STATE BANK OF INDIA, REPRESENTED BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, TOWN BRANCH, NEAR GEAS POL JN., KANNUR.**
5. **VIJAYA BANK, REPRESENTED BY ITS BRANCH MANAGER, EDAKKAVU BANK, KANNUR.**

R.P. NO. 1066/2006:

**6. DENA BANK, REPRESENTED BY
ITS BRANCH MANAGER, BANK ROAD, KANNUR.**

**BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.K. PUSHPALATHA,
ADV.SRI.P.B.SURESH KUMAR FOR R3,
SRI.M.K.SUMOD,
SRI.KAUSER EDAPPAGATH,
SRI.CHANDRAN CHANDROTH,
SRI.PHILIP T.VARGHESE,
SRI.THOMAS T.VARGHESE.**

**THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 02/04/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,J.

RP NO.1066 OF 2006 in WPC 7252/06

Order

I heard the learned counsel on both sides. The Writ Petition was dismissed without hearing the claim of the petitioner on merits. So, in view of the contentions taken in the RP, I think, it is only appropriate that the Judgment is recalled and the matter is heard on merits. Accordingly, the RP is allowed. The Judgment under review is recalled and the Writ Petition is restored to file.

Post the Writ Petition for admission next week.

02.04.2007 **K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,JUDGE**

sta